

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,820	07/10/2003	Allan McCarty	PAR-115-D	2690	
7	590 10/10/2006			EXAMINER	
William M. Hanlon, Jr.			GRAHAM, MARK S		
Suite 624 3001 West Big Beaver Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 48084			3711		

**DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/616,820	MCCARTY, ALLAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark S. Graham	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addres	s		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMURY 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) tatute, cause the application to become	JNICATION.  Bay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the community of	·		
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	08 September 2006. This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) ☐ 6) ☒ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9) ☐ 10) ☐	Claim(s) 1.3 and 7-9 is/are pending in the state of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.3.7-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to by the state of the oath or declaration is objected to be stated or declaration is objected or declaration i	ndrawn from consideration and/or election requirement miner.  accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in about the drawing of the draw	I to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

The following objections to the claims needs to be corrected:

In claim 1, lines 6 and 7, proper antecedent basis for the "the bore" is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McCarty et al. '437 (McCarty). Because the claims include features not disclosed in the '437 patent, (the lower end of the bore length range, and the wall thickness range) and the filing date of the instant application is more than one year after the publication of the '437 patent, the '437 patent is available as prior art, (See MPEP 201.11, IB, last paragraph on page 200-58 continuing onto page 200-59).

In Col. 5 on the '437 application it is disclosed that the bore should be 5 inches; that the wall thickness may be .01 inches (line 34); and may be made of a composite materials including epoxy and carbon. Such a reading of the column indicates that claims 1, 3, 7, 8, and 9 are anticipated. Regarding claim 9, either the tenon 60 or the air in the bore may be considered the non-structural material. Concerning the .01 inch limitation and anticipation of ranges see MPEP 2131.03, I.

Art Unit: 3711

Alternatively if one does not read the disclosure to specifically disclose the 5 inch bore in the composite cue the fact that this is the only depth disclosed would have made it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used it on the composite cue. With regard to claim 3, the reference clearly states that various fiber reinforced plastics may be used. The examiner took official notice that carbon/epoxy composites are commonly known and such is now admitted prior art. This material would obviously have been suitable for the ordinarily skilled artisan seeking a particular strength and weight in the cue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at

telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 9/26/06

Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711